Exhibit 3

Daniel W. Fox (SBN 268757) daniel.fox@klgates.com Jerry S. McDevitt (pro hac vice)	
3 Christopher S. Finnerty (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
christopher.finnerty@klgates.com Morgan T. Nickerson (pro hac vice)	
morgan.nickerson@klgates.com Derek W. Kelley (pro hac vice)	
derek.kelley@klgates.com	
Four Embarcadero Center	
San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone: (415) 882-8200	
World Wrestling Entertainment, Inc.	
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALLEORNIA	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
MLW MEDIA LLC,	Case No. 5:22-cv-00179-EJD
Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT WORLD WRESTLING
v.	ENTERTAINMENT, INC.'S
WORLD WRESTLING ENTERTAINMENT, INC.	OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO MLW'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION
Defendant.	
313958756.4	
WWE'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO MLW'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION	
	daniel.fox@klgates.com Jerry S. McDevitt (pro hac vice) jerry.mcdevitt@klgates.com Christopher S. Finnerty (pro hac vice) christopher.finnerty@klgates.com Morgan T. Nickerson (pro hac vice) morgan.nickerson@klgates.com Derek W. Kelley (pro hac vice) derek.kelley@klgates.com K&L GATES LLP Four Embarcadero Center Suite 1200 San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone: (415) 882-8200 Facsimile: (415) 882-8200 Counsel for Defendant World Wrestling Entertainment, Inc. UNITED STATES D NORTHERN DISTRICATION MLW MEDIA LLC, Plaintiff, v. WORLD WRESTLING ENTERTAINMENT, INC. Defendant.

Defendant World Wrestling Entertainment, Inc. ("WWE") respectfully submits the following objections and responses (the "Responses") to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production (the "Requests").

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT AND GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. WWE provides these Responses without waiver of, and intending to preserve, the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, and any other applicable privilege, immunity, protection, or restriction available under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, federal statutes, and/or common law. The inadvertent revelation of information subject to any applicable privilege or protection, including, but not limited to, the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work-product doctrine, is not intended to be, and shall not operate as, a waiver of any such privilege or protection, in whole or in part.
 - 2. WWE provides these Responses without waiver of, and intending to preserve:
- a. All questions as to competency, relevancy, materiality, and admissibility of evidence for any purpose of WWE's responses or the subject matter thereof, in this or any other action, and WWE's responses are similarly not to be deemed an admission as to the competency, relevancy, materiality, or admissibility of evidence for any purpose in this action;
- b. The right to object on any ground to the use of WWE's responses, or the subject matter thereof, in this or any other action; and
- c. The right, at any time, to revise, correct, supplement, clarify, and/or amend any response furnished.
- 3. WWE provides these Responses based on its interpretation and understanding of each Request. WWE reserves its right to amend and/or supplement its objections and responses in the event that Plaintiff asserts an interpretation that differs from WWE's interpretation.
- 4. If discovery opens, WWE will produce responsive documents, if any, that are located after a reasonably diligent search of documents within WWE's possession, custody, or control, taking into account the many potential sources of information arguably responsive to the Requests and the proportionality of the information sought relative to the burden of its production.
- 5. WWE objects to the Requests to the extent that they seek documents that are outside 313958756.4

WWE's possession, custody, or control. WWE further objects to the Requests to the extent that they seek information that Plaintiff equally may otherwise obtain from public sources or, with less burden or expense, by using other means of discovery.

- 6. WWE objects to the Requests as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent that any Request purports to seek information relating to "all" or "any" documents, communications, emails, etc. that are responsive to the subject matter of a particular Request.
- 7. A response indicating the WWE will produce documents that are responsive to a Request is not a representation or statement of belief that any such documents exist or have existed.
- 8. Insofar as the Requests define "A&E" to include "A&E Networks and its corporate parent, subsidiaries, divisions, departments, affiliates, member firms, assigns, predecessors, successors, officers, directors, board members, employees, agents, representatives, partners, contractors and anyone else acting on A&E Networks' behalf," WWE objects to the Requests as vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome. WWE will meet and confer with MLW regarding this definition.
- 9. Insofar as the Requests define "AEW" to include "All Elite Wrestling, LLC and its corporate parent, subsidiaries, divisions, departments, affiliates, member firms, assigns, predecessors, successors, officers, directors, board members, employees, agents, representatives, partners, contractors and anyone else acting on All Elite Wrestling, LLC's behalf," WWE objects to the Requests as vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome. WWE will meet and confer with MLW regarding this definition.
- 10. Insofar as the Requests define "FITE" to include "the New York-based streaming service owned by Triller Media, and its corporate parent, subsidiaries, divisions, departments, affiliates, member firms, assigns, predecessors, successors, officers, directors, board members, employees, agents, representatives, partners, contractors and anyone else acting on FITE's behalf," WWE objects to the Requests as vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome. WWE will meet and confer with MLW regarding this definition.
- 11. Insofar as the Requests define "Fox" to include "Fox Corporation and its corporate parent, subsidiaries, divisions, departments, affiliates, member firms, assigns, predecessors, 313958756.4

successors, officers, directors, board members, employees, agents, representatives, partners, contractors and anyone else acting on Fox Corporation's behalf," WWE objects to the Requests as vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome. WWE will meet and confer with MLW regarding this definition.

- 12. Insofar as the Requests define "Impact" to include "Anthem Wrestling Exhibitions, LLC and its corporate parent, subsidiaries, divisions, departments, affiliates, member firms, assigns, predecessors, successors, officers, directors, board members, employees, agents, representatives, partners, contractors and anyone else acting on Anthem Wrestling Exhibitions, LLC's behalf including, but not limited to, Total Nonstop Action Wrestling, TNA Entertainment LLC, Aroluxe Media, LLC and Impact Ventures LLC," WWE objects to the Requests as vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome. WWE will meet and confer with MLW regarding this definition.
- 13. Insofar as the Requests define "MSG" to include "Madison Square Garden Sports Corp. and its corporate parent, subsidiaries, divisions, departments, affiliates, member firms, assigns, predecessors, successors, officers, directors, board members, employees, agents, representatives, partners, contractors and anyone else acting on MSG's behalf, including Madison Square Garden Entertainment," WWE objects to the Requests as vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome. WWE will meet and confer with MLW regarding this definition.
- 14. Insofar as the Requests define "New Japan Pro-Wrestling" to include "New Japan Pro-Wrestling of America, Inc., the US-based Japanese wrestling promotion, and its corporate parent, subsidiaries, divisions, departments, affiliates, member firms, assigns, predecessors, successors, officers, directors, board members, employees, agents, representatives, partners, contractors and anyone else acting on its behalf," WWE objects to the Requests as vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome. WWE will meet and confer with MLW regarding this definition.
- 15. Insofar as the Requests define "Ring of Honor" to include "ROH Acquisitions Co., LLC, the wrestling production company, and its corporate parent, subsidiaries, divisions, departments, affiliates, member firms, assigns, predecessors, successors, officers, directors, board 313958756.4

members, employees, agents, representatives, partners, contractors and anyone else acting on its behalf," WWE objects to the Requests as vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome. WWE will meet and confer with MLW regarding this definition.

- 16. Insofar as the Requests define "Tubi" to include "Tubi, the California-based streaming service owned by a major media company Fox Corporation, and its corporate parent, subsidiaries, divisions, departments, affiliates, member firms, assigns, predecessors, successors, officers, directors, board members, employees, agents, representatives, partners, contractors and anyone else acting on its behalf," WWE objects to the Requests as vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome. WWE will meet and confer with MLW regarding this definition.
- 17. Insofar as the Requests define "Vice" to include "VICE TV and its corporate parent, subsidiaries, divisions, departments, affiliates, member firms, assigns, predecessors, successors, officers, directors, board members, employees, agents, representatives, partners, contractors and anyone else acting on VICE TV's behalf," WWE objects to the Requests as vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome. WWE will meet and confer with MLW regarding this definition.
- 18. Insofar as the Requests define "WWE," "You," or "Your" to include "any parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns, and all of Defendants' current and former officers, directors, owners, shareholders, employees, contractors, agents, attorneys and representatives," WWE objects to the Requests as vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome. WWE will construe the term "WWE" to mean solely the Defendant indicated in the particular Request and no other person or entity.
- 19. WWE incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Preliminary Statement into each and every one of its Responses to the Requests set forth below.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUESTS REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

All documents related to MLW, including, but not limited to, the following: (a) communications that refer to, discuss or constitute your efforts to recruit performers who are under contract with MLW; (b) communications between you and Tubi, Vice and/or FITE that reference 313958756.4

MLW or its programs; (c) internal communications that reference MLW or its programs; (d) communications that refer to, discuss or constitute your efforts to discourage businesses or performers from working with MLW; (e) documents that refer to or discuss MLW contracts, or proposed or prospective contracts, with cable, broadcast, streaming or other media outlets, including Tubi, Vice and FITE; and (f) documents related to Court Bauer.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

WWE objects to Request No. 1 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 1 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 1 to the extent it mischaracterizes WWE's actions and/or correspondence as "discouraging business or performers". WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 1 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 1 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

All documents related to AEW, including, but not limited to, the following: (a) communications that refer to, discuss or constitute your efforts to recruit performers who are under contract with AEW; (b) communications between you and third parties that reference AEW or its programs; (c) internal communications that reference AEW or its programs; (d) communications that refer to, discuss or constitute your efforts to discourage businesses or performers from working with AEW; (e) documents that refer to or discuss AEW contracts, or proposed or prospective 313958756.4

contracts, with cable, broadcast, streaming or other media outlets; (f) documents concerning 2 AEW's, booking of, or attempt to book, Heritage Bank Center (formerly known as U.S. Bank 3 Arena) for events, including communications with Heritage Bank Center concerning third-party 4 bookings of Heritage Bank Center.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

WWE objects to Request No. 2 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 2 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 2 to the extent it mischaracterizes WWE's actions and/or correspondence as "discouraging business or performers." WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 2 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 2 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

All documents related to Impact, including, but not limited to, the following: (a) communications that refer to, discuss or constitute your efforts to recruit performers who are under contract with Impact; (b) communications between you and third parties that reference Impact or its programs; (c) internal communications that reference Impact or its programs; (d) communications that refer to, discuss or constitute your efforts to discourage businesses or performers from working with Impact; (e) documents that refer to or discuss Impact contracts, or proposed or prospective contracts, with cable, broadcast, streaming or other media outlets.

28

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

313958756.4 7

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

WWE objects to Request No. 3 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 3 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 3 to the extent it is misleading and mischaracterizes WWE's actions and/or correspondence as "discouraging business or performers". WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 3 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 3 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

All documents related to MLW's negotiations or relationship with VICE, including, but not limited to, communications between you and VICE that reference MLW or its programs.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

WWE objects to Request No. 4 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 4 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 4 to the extent that it seeks documents that MLW already possesses or to which MLW has equal access, and therefore are equally or less burdensome for Plaintiff to procure for itself. WWE further objects to Request No. 4 to the extent it is duplicative of Request No. 1. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 4 seeks documents that are protected by the 313958756.4

3

1

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 4 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

All documents related to WWE's involvement with VICE's "Dark Side of the Ring Program," including documents related to Jerry McDevitt's appearance on the show.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

WWE objects to Request No. 5 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 5 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 5 to the extent it is duplicative of Request No. 4. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 5 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

WWE further objects to Request No. 5 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 5.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

All documents related to Tubi, including, but not limited to, communications between you and VICE [sic] that reference MLW or its programs.

313958756.4

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

WWE interprets "VICE" in Request No. 6 to be a typographical error, and to refer to Tubi. WWE objects to Request No. 6 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 6 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 6 to the extent it is duplicative of Request No. 1. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 6 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 6 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

All documents related to FITE, including, but not limited to, communications between you and FITE that reference MLW or its programs.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

WWE objects to Request No. 7 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 7 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 7 to the extent it is duplicative of Request No. 1. WWE further objects to the extent

1

4

5

3

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

313958756.4

that Request No. 7 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 7 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

From January 1, 2018 until December 31, 2018, all documents related to New Japan Pro-Wrestling, including, but not limited to, documents relating to New Japan Pro-Wrestling's booking of, or attempt to book, Madison Square Garden for events, including communications with MSG concerning third-party bookings of MSG venues.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

WWE objects to Request No. 8 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 8 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 8 to the extent that it seeks documents outside the possession, custody, and/or control of WWE or to which MLW has equal access, and therefore are equally or less burdensome for Plaintiff to procure for itself. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 8 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection. WWE further objects to Request No. 8 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 8.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

From January 1, 2018 until December 31, 2018, all documents related to Ring of Honor, including, but not limited to, documents relating to Ring of Honor's booking of, or attempt to book, Madison Square Garden for events, including communications with MSG concerning third-party bookings of MSG venues.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

WWE objects to Request No. 9 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 9 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 9 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection. WWE further objects to Request No. 9 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 9.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

All documents and communications with Fox regarding (a) Tubi and/or (b) MLW.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

WWE objects to Request No. 10 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 10 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE objects to Request No. 10 to the extent it is duplicative of Request No. 1. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 10 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-

client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 10 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

Documents sufficient to identify each person who has knowledge of communications between WWE and VICE that occurred during the time period January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021 concerning MLW or MLW's negotiations with VICE.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

WWE objects to Request No. 11 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 11 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 11 to the extent it mischaracterizes the discussions between MLW and VICE. WWE further objects to Request No. 11 to the extent it is duplicative of Request No. 1. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 11 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 11 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

313958756.4

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

Documents sufficient to identify each person who has knowledge of communications between WWE and Tubi that occurred during the time period May 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021 concerning MLW or MLW's negotiations or agreement with Tubi.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

WWE objects to Request No. 12 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 12 to the extent it mischaracterizes the discussions and/or obligations between MLW and Tubi. WWE further objects to Request No. 12 to the extent it is duplicative of Request No. 1. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 12 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 12 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

Documents sufficient to identify each person who has knowledge of communications between WWE and FITE that occurred during the time period January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021 concerning MLW or MLW's negotiations with FITE.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

WWE objects to Request No. 13 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 13 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is 14

1 ov
2 ca
3 in
4 to
5 Re
6 tha
7 pr
8

overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 13 to the extent it mischaracterizes the discussions between MLW and FITE. WWE further objects to Request No. 13 to the extent it is duplicative of Request No. 1. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 13 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 13 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

All documents related to WWE's efforts to source, locate or hire professional wrestlers, including, but not limited to, WWE's efforts to source, locate or hire professional wrestlers from competitors.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

WWE objects to Request No. 14 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 14 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 14 to the extent it mischaracterizes WWE's actions and/or correspondence to "locate or hire professional wrestlers from competitors." WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 14 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

WWE further objects to Request No. 14 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence. Specifically, MLW's four counts concern its negotiations and purported contracts with 313958756.4

' | w

television and streaming outlets. MLW has not connected those claims to or alleged separate claims related to WWE's efforts to "source, locate, or hire" professional wrestlers from MLW or any other business. Even if such connection were possible (it is not), Request No. 14 is overbroad and unduly burdensome. MLW appears to seek documents regarding every single individual WWE has ever considered as a professional wrestler, even if those individuals had no relationship with MLW and would never have one. That number extends well into the thousands or tens of thousands.

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 14.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

The contracts or employment agreements of all WWE professional wrestlers whose contracts contain non-compete clauses or other clauses that limit or restrict the performer's alternative employment options.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

WWE objects to Request No. 15 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 15 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 15 to the extent it mischaracterizes professional wrestlers as employees and mischaracterizes contracts containing "non-compete clauses or other clauses" as "limit[ing] or restrict[ing] the performer's "alternative employment options." WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 15 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

WWE further objects to Request No. 15 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence. Specifically, MLW's four counts concern its negotiations and purported contracts with television and streaming outlets. MLW has not connected those claims to or alleged separate claims related to WWE's contracts with professional wrestlers.

WWE further objects to Request No. 15 as overbroad and unduly burdensome, As written, MLW seeks all versions of WWE talent contracts, including non-executed, draft contracts, as well 16

1

4

5

6 7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

313958756.4

17

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 15.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

All documents related to the performance of WWE or any of its employees or contractors in connection with professional wrestling events, including documents containing analyses, reviews, evaluations, appraisals or other internal assessments related to the quality, value or completeness of, or defects in, each such person's work; their knowledge, skills and qualifications; and warnings, criticisms, reprimands, demotions, reassignments, terminations or other disciplinary actions related to those individuals, as well as documents sufficient to show which WWE professional wrestlers participated in WWE's events.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

WWE objects to Request No. 16 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 16 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 16 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection. WWE further objects to Request No. 16 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

WWE further objects to Request No. 16 as overbroad and unduly burdensome. As written, MLW seeks performance evaluations for all WWE employees and contractors. The burden of reviewing and producing such material far exceeds any potential probative value.

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 16.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

All documents concerning the termination of the employment, or the resignation, of any professional wrestler during the time period January 1, 2020 through present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

WWE objects to Request No. 17 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 17 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 17 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection. WWE further objects to Request No. 17 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 17.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

All documents, including organizational and staffing charts, related to the organization, areas of responsibility and reporting relationships of WWE, including, but not limited to, organizational charts reflecting the name, position and hierarchy of employees with any responsibilities relating to the production of professional wrestling content.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

WWE objects to Request No. 18 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 18 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. Specifically, WWE employs and contracts with thousands of individuals globally across a wide range of 18

313958756.4

departments, including merchandise sales, investor relations, accounting, and studio personnel, to name a few. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 18 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 18 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

All documents related to WWE's outreach, solicitation or hiring of former MLW professional wrestler Stephon Strickland ("Strickland"), who performs under the ring names Swerve Strickland or Isaiah Swerve Scott, including internal communications concerning WWE's decision to hire Strickland; Strickland's potential role in the dispute between the parties or litigation between MLW and WWE; and communications with Strickland.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

WWE objects to Request No. 19 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 19 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 19 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection. WWE further objects to Request No. 19 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 19.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

All documents related to WWE's outreach, solicitation or hiring of former MLW professional wrestler Luis Alberto Medina ("Medina"), who performs under the ring name Gino Medina, including internal communications concerning WWE's solicitation of Medina, any WWE events, programs or promotions that Medina appeared in, and any communications with Medina.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

WWE objects to Request No. 20 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 20 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 20 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection. WWE further objects to Request No. 20 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 20.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

All documents related to WWE's outreach, solicitation or hiring of former MLW professional wrestler Harry Smith ("Smith"), who performs under the ring name Davey Boy Smith Jr., including internal communications concerning WWE's solicitation of Smith, any WWE events, programs or promotions that Smith appeared in, and any communications with Smith.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

WWE objects to Request No. 21 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 21 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 21 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint 20

3

5

6

1

defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection. WWE further objects to Request No. 21 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

Fuavaifatu.

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 21

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

All documents related to WWE's outreach, solicitation or hiring of former MLW

WWE objects to Request No. 22 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case,

professional wrestler Jacob Fuavaifatu ("Fuavaifatu"), who performs under the ring name Jacob

Fatu, including internal communications concerning WWE's solicitation of Fuavaifatu, any WWE

events, programs or promotions that Fuavaifatu appeared in, and any communications with

no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request

No. 22 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is

overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not

proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 22 seeks

documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint

defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection. WWE further objects to

Request No. 22 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not

All documents related to WWE's outreach, solicitation or hiring of former MLW

professional wrestler Lance Anoa'i ("Anoa'i"), including internal communications concerning

WWE's solicitation of Anoa'i, any WWE events, programs or promotions that Anoa'i appeared in,

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 22.

4 |

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

and any communications with Anoa'i.

7 8

9

10

1112

14

13

16

15

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

26

28

313958756.4

21

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

WWE objects to Request No. 23 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 23 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 23 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection. WWE further objects to Request No. 23 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 23.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:

From January 1, 2012 through the present, all lawsuits, claims, or complaints, threatened or actual, made by any third party relating to allegations that WWE wrongfully poached or solicited employees or contractors, or tortiously or unfairly interfered with a competitor's business, including a copy of any transcript of testimony given in connection with such lawsuits, claims, or complaints.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:

WWE objects to Request No. 24 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 24 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all", and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 24 to the extent it mischaracterizes any and all legal actions, claims, or complaints and/or WWE's correspondence and/or conduct as "threaten[ing]," or that WWE "wrongfully poached or solicited" or "tortiously or unfairly interfered with a competitor." WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 24 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, court protective orders, and/or any other applicable privilege or protection. WWE further objects

to Request No. 24 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

2

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 24.

3

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:

5 6 All lawsuits, claims, or complaints, threatened or actual, made by WWE's employees in connection with WWE's employment or contractor agreements, including claims or complaints related to the hiring or retention of WWE's employees, contractors or agents, including a copy of any transcript of testimony given in connection with such lawsuits, claims, or complaints.

7 8

9

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:

1011

no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 25 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is

WWE objects to Request No. 25 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case,

13

14

12

overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 25 seeks

15

documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint

16

defense privilege, court protective orders, and/or any other applicable privilege or protection. WWE

17

further objects to Request No. 25 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

18

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 25.

1920

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

2122

23

All documents relating to WWE's efforts to prevent competitors from booking arenas or venues for the production of professional wrestling content, including, but not limited to, WWE's efforts to book arenas or venues during times when competitors sought to book such arenas or

24

venues and communications with event venues, stadiums or arenas concerning third parties'

booking of, or attempt to book, such event venues, stadiums or arenas for events.

2526

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

2728

WWE objects to Request No. 26 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request 313958756.4

1 No. 26 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 26 to the extent it mischaracterizes WWE's actions and/or correspondences as "efforts to prevent competitors" from "booking arena or venues." WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 26 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection. WWE further objects to Request No. 26 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 26 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27:

All documents concerning the internal decision making related to when WWE programming is broadcast or streamed, which networks or platforms stream such programming, and whether to air programming in timeslots that compete with competitors, including, but not limited to, internal analyses, forecasts or projections.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27:

WWE objects to Request No. 27 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 27 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 27 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client

28

313958756.4

24

1

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 27 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:

All documents concerning the internal decision making related to WWE's decision to air Clash at The Castle on Saturday, September 3, 2022 and NXT Worlds Collide on September 4, 2022.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:

WWE objects to Request No. 28 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 28 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and is not proportional to the needs of the case. MLW has failed to assert any facts in the operative complaint relative to its claims, to support such a broad request for documents concerning its broadcasted promotional events. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 28 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection. WWE further objects to Request No. 26 as seeking information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 28.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:

All documents related to WWE's agreements for the distribution, licensing fees, rights fees, advertising revenue, or subscription revenue of WWE professional wrestling content, including, but not limited to agreements for the licensing or distribution of Smackdown, RAW or NXT 313958756.4

programming, including documents and communications concerning negotiations with counterparties.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:

or protection.

WWE objects to Request No. 29 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 29 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 29 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 29 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30:

All documents relating to the pricing of WWE events, including but not limited to: (a) analyses concerning the initial pricing for the sale or licensing of WWE events to cable, broadcast or streaming platforms; (b) actual or potential price increases or decreases, including any such changes in response to competition from another product; (c) rebates, discounts, or markdowns, including the negotiation thereof; or (d) negotiations with cable, broadcast or streaming platform.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30:

WWE objects to Request No. 30 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 30 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents 313958756.4

that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 30 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 30 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

All documents relating to: (a) competition to WWE, including but not limited to competition from MLW, AEW or Impact; or (b) analyses, forecasts or other projections reflecting sales of WWE programming, MLW programming, AEW programming or Impact programming, or any other competition to WWE programming.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

WWE objects to Request No. 31 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 31 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 31 to the extent that it seeks documents outside the possession, custody, and/or control of WWE or to which MLW has equal access, and therefore are equally or less burdensome for Plaintiff to procure for itself. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 31 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

313958756.4 27

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 31 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

All documents concerning WWE's decision to shut down or discontinue its streaming service, WWE Network.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

WWE objects to Request No. 32 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 32 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 32 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that its streaming service, WWE Network, was not "shut down" or "discontinued," and it continues to operate. Accordingly, there are no documents to produce in response to Request No. 32.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

All documents, including market studies or analyses, concerning WWE's target audience or viewership for professional wrestling content.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

WWE objects to Request No. 33 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 33 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is

28

overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 33 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 33 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:

On a monthly basis, all viewership or ratings data for professional wrestling content.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:

WWE objects to Request No. 34 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 34 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 34 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that the viewership data and ratings data for its televised professional wrestling content is publicly available. For non-publicly-available viewership data for WWE's professional wrestling content, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 34 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and 29

discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

3

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

4

All minutes or notes relating to meetings of Your Board of Directors, or any committee or subcommittee of the Board of Directors, from January 1, 2018 to the present.

no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request

No. 35 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is

overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents

that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any

party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further

objects to the extent that Request No. 35 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client

privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege

or protection. WWE further objects to Request No. 35 as overly broad and seeking information not

related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of

WWE objects to Request No. 35 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case,

5

6

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:**

relevant, admissible evidence.

20 21

All insurance policies, insuring agreements, declarations, binders, endorsements, and certificates of insurance concerning insurance coverage maintained by you concerning the damages sought in this Action.

23

24

25

22

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request 35.

26 27

28

WWE objects to Request No. 36 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 36 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any 313958756.4 30

5 6

7 8

10

11

12

9

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:

All documents related to any notification, claim or reservation of rights, made under any insurance policy, in connection with the Complaint.

313958756.4 31

party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 36 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE refers MLW to its initial disclosures and states that it is not a party to any insurance or indemnification agreement that is relevant to this action at this time. WWE states that it does not have any documents responsive to Request No. 36.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:

All claims made or forms submitted by you to insurance carriers in connection with any claims made the basis of this Action.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:

WWE objects to Request No. 37 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 37 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 37 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE refers MLW to its initial disclosures and states that it is not a party to any insurance or indemnification agreement that is relevant to this action at this time. WWE does not have any documents responsive to Request No. 37.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:

WWE objects to Request No. 38 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 38 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 38 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it does not have any documents responsive to Request No. 38.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:

All documents concerning any settlement agreement, indemnity agreement, hold-harmless agreement, guaranty agreement, judgment sharing agreement, non-disclosure agreement, covenant not to sue, joint defense agreement, cooperation agreement, cost sharing agreement and common interest agreement between WWE and any other person in connection with this litigation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:

WWE objects to Request No. 39 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 39 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 39 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

313958756.4

1 2 any documents responsive to Request No. 39. 3 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:** 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 www.sec.gov. 15 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:** 16

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it does not have

One copy of each of WWE's quarterly and annual financial statements and reports.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:

WWE objects to Request No. 40 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 40 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague, and seeks documents that are not relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence proportional to the needs of the case.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that, as a publicly traded company, its quarterly and annual financial statements and reports are publicly available at

One copy of each U.S. tax return (federal and state) filed by WWE.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:

WWE objects to Request No. 41 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 41 to the extent that it calls for the production of highly sensitive documents unrelated to this matter which seek information not related to any of MLW's asserted claims and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce a copy of its U.S. tax returns. As a publicly traded company, WWE's financials are publicly available at www.sec.gov.

26

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

313958756.4

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

All documents evidencing your ability to satisfy a judgment in this Action, including all documents concerning any financial reserve or amount to cover estimated or potential liabilities, settlements or other expenses which may result from this Action.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

WWE objects to Request No. 42 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 42 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents related to an undefined "judgment" in the case, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 42 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that as a publicly traded company, its financials are publicly available at www.sec.gov. WWE will not produce further materials responsive to Request No. 42.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

All documents concerning this Action, including press releases.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

WWE objects to Request No. 43 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 43 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all documents concerning this Action," and is not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 43 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

313958756.4 34

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 43 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms. As to press releases, they are publically available at corporate.wwe.com/investors/news/press-releases.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:

All documents concerning written statements between you and third parties or your parent, subsidiary or affiliate corporations, partners, stockholders and/or shareholders concerning this Action.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:

WWE objects to Request No. 44 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 44 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all documents" "concerning this Action," and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 44 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that Request No. 44 is duplicative of Request No. 43, and WWE will produce materials responsive to this Request in accordance with WWE's response to Request No. 43.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:

All documents, regardless of date, concerning any policies and/or procedures concerning compliance with federal and state antitrust laws.

313958756.4

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:

WWE objects to Request No. 45 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 45 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. As written, this request seeks all policies and procedures dating back to the foundation of WWE in 1953 which is out of scope and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. WWE further objects to Request No. 45 because it seeks documents that are clearly protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 45.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46:

All documents concerning each of the allegations in the Complaint and/or any denial or other contention or response you have with respect to each such allegation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46:

WWE objects to Request No. 46 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 46 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. WWE further objects to Request No. 46 because it seeks documents that are clearly protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

WWE will not produce materials responsive to Request No. 46.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:

All documents concerning WWE's contracts with A&E, as well as documents concerning WWE partnerships or programs that A&E participates in or airs.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:

WWE objects to Request No. 47 as premature because discovery is not yet open in this case, no protective order is in place, and no ESI protocol has been implemented. WWE objects to Request No. 47 to the extent that it calls for the production of documents unrelated to this matter, is overbroad and unduly burdensome, is vague in its request for "all" documents, and seeks documents that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to any party's claim or defense in this case and not proportional to the needs of the case. MLW has failed to assert any facts in the operative complaint, relative to its claims, to support such a broad request for documents concerning its contractual relationship with A&E. WWE further objects to the extent that Request No. 47 seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, WWE states that it will not produce any responsive documents regarding Request No. 47 until discovery is open and a protective order and ESI protocols have been implemented. Once these are implemented and discovery opens, WWE will search for and produce responsive, non-privileged information based on agreed upon search terms.

313958756.4

Case 5:22-cv-00179-EJD Document 51-4 Filed 01/05/23 Page 39 of 39 1 Dated: December 23, 2022 Respectfully submitted, 2 3 By: Derek W. Kelley 4 Daniel W. Fox (SBN 268757) daniel.fox@klgates.com 5 Jerry S. McDevitt (pro hac vice) jerry.mcdevitt@klgates.com 6 Christopher S. Finnerty (pro hac vice) christopher.finnerty@klgates.com 7 Morgan T. Nickerson (pro hac vice) morgan.nickerson@klgates.com 8 Derek W. Kelley (pro hac vice) derek.kelley@klgates.com 9 K&L GATES LLP Four Embarcadero Center 10 **Suite 1200** San Francisco, CA 94103 11 Telephone: (415) 882-8200 Facsimile: (415) 882-8220 12 Counsel for Defendant 13 World Wrestling Entertainment, Inc. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

38

313958756.4